Nitric Oxide Donors Combined with Misopristol Versus Misopristol Alone in Induction of Labour in Postterm Pregnancy

Mahmoud H. Ibrahim MD, Hashem F. Mohammed MD and Ahmed M. Abdelghany MD

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Minia Maternity and Pediatric University Hospital, Minia, Egypt .

Abstract

Key Words: Nitric Oxide, labour, NO donor

Introduction

Induction of labour is a common obstetric problem and is considered as a greet challenge to obstetricians. The condition of the cervix is almost always related to the success of the process of termination and its duration, so the cervix should be adapted (ripened) before beginning of termination.⁽¹⁾

Misoprostol is a synthetic prostaglandin El analogue used originally for the prevention and treatment of peptic ulcer caused by the prolonged use of NSAIDs⁽⁷⁾. It has been used as a cervical ripening agent and for induction of labour many years ago^(°), many studies have been conducted ever since in order to evaluate its use with a living fetus.

Nitric oxide donor, glyceryl trinitrate, is a free radical which involved in the process of cervix ripening⁽¹⁾. Its involved in the acute

inflammatory response and also know to stimulates matrix metalloproteinase that breakdown collagen of the cervix^(V).

Patients and methods

This study was done in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Minia maternity and pediatric University Hospital, Minia, Egypt from February $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon$ may $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon \cdot$. The study included one hundred apparently healthy postterm pregnant patients eligible for induction of labour, admitted through the emergency room or out patient clinic. The duration of pregnancy was thoroughly calculated from the last reliable menstrual period and early ultrasonography scanning (< $\Upsilon \Upsilon$ weeks).

All patients included in this study were postterm pregnancy (1 · days after the EDD)

The exclusion criteria of these patients were: Group A (Misoprostol-only group):

-). Previous scarred uterus.
- ⁷. Grandmultipara (Gravida ° and more)
- $^{\circ}$. Patients with Bishop score > $^{\xi}$.
- ٤. Premature rupture of membranes.
- •. Transverse lie (>^{γ} · weeks).
- ⁷. Multiple pregnancies.
- V. Placenta previa (> γ · weeks).
- A. Patients with known contraindications to the used drugs e.g history of allergy to the used drugs.
- ⁹. Patients with any medical problem such as chronic liver disease, chronic renal disease, chronic hypertension, diabetes, history of bronchial asthma, known cardiac disease, chronic headache such as migraine
- 1. History of any drug intake except vitamins and iron.

All patients were subjected to:

A) History taking: included personal, obstetric, menstrual and past history

B) Clinical examination: included general, obstetric and vaginal examination for assessment of Bishop score on admission to the study.

C) Investigations:

- I Laboratory:
 - Hemoglobin level and platelets count.
 - Coagulation profile (clotting time, prothrombin time and concentration, PTT).
 - Renal function tests (urea, creatinine).
 - Random blood sugar.
- ⁷- Ultrasonography examination:
 - Estimation of the gestational age.
 - Localization of the placenta.
 - Diagnosis of major congenital anomalies.

All patients included in this study were informed about the details of the procedure before starting termination of their pregnancy and informed oral consent was taken.

Methodology:

Patients were randomly allocated into two groups (fifty patients for each group) using computer generated tables and sealed envelops according to the method of termination. These two groups were as follows:

1 tablet of misoprostol " \circ micrograms" (Misotac; Sigma ,Co, Cairo, Egypt) was put every ξ hour sublingual with a maximum dose of ¹... micrograms "¹ doses" (Ho et al., 1997).

Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group):

One tablet of glyceryl trinitrate "ovv micrograms" (Angised; Welcome Co; London, UK) was put every ξ hours in the posterior fornix with a maximum dose of τ milliograms "7 doses"^(Y) in the same time of giving $\circ \cdot$ ug ($1 \leq tablet$) misoprostol every four hours for a maximum of $(1 \cdot \cdot ug)$ ٦doses.

Bishop score pattern were recorded throughout the procedure at · hour (on admission to the study), γ hour and γ hour after drugs intake in both groups.

Patients were examined every [£] hours for:

). Occurrence of uterine contractions, its frequency and duration.

⁷. Formation of bag of fore water in patients with uterine contractions.

 \mathcal{T} . Changes in the Bishop score.

The following parameters were calculated and recorded in both groups throughout the procedure:

Induction - maximum Bishop interval (In hours): The interval that lapses from the time of the misoprostol insertion to the time of achievement of maximum Bishop.

Induction - termination interval (In hours): The sum of the duration of misoprostol insertion plus the duration of any complementary procedure (if any).

Maximum Bishop- termination interval (In hours): The time from maximum Bishop achieved till the time of complete termination.

- Complications of induction of labour such hyperstimulation syndrome of the utrerus, fetal distress, and rupture of the uterus.

Side effects of the used drugs such as:

Headache, hot flushes, palpitation, dyspnea, fever, G I T symptoms (nausea, vomiting and diarrhea).

- Changes in maternal pulse and B.P. (recorded every ^Y hours)

Follow-up:

The patients were followed after termination for detection and management of any immediate post termination complications (if any).

Statistical analysis:

The data were collected and tabulated on a statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) program, version ⁹ for windows resulting in:

- Descriptive statistics:

- Mean (X).
- Standard deviation (SD).
- Analytical statistics:
- Unpaired "t" was used to compare between two independent means.
- Paired "t" test to compare within the same group.
- Chi-square test to compare between different groups in qualitative data.
- P-Value of < . • was considered significant.

Results

Table (1): Patient's characteristics on admission.

The parameter	Group A (Misoprostol -only group) (n=° •)	Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group) (n=° ·)	Significance
Age (years)			
Range	18-2.) V_ž •	NS
$X \pm SD$	70. · N±0.77	۲٦.٧١±٥.٧	
Weight (Kg)			
Range	٦٠_٩٧	790	NS
$X \pm SD$	۷۹ <u>.</u> •۸±۸.٦١	۲۲. ^۸ ±۱.۱۹	
Number of deliveries (no)			
Range	<u>+ _ ۲</u>	۰_٤	NS
$X \pm SD$	1. TT±1. T	۱.٧٤±١.١٦	
Number of abortions (no)			
Range	۰_٤	<u>+ _ ۲</u>	NS
$X \pm SD$	•. ٧٢±•.٩٩	۱.•۲±۱.۸	
g <mark>= Kilograms</mark>	NS= Non Signifi	cant	•

There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups on admission regarding the age, weight, number of deliveries, number of abortions, gestational age calculated by LMP and gestational age calculated by ultrasonography scanning (P> \cdot . $\cdot \circ$) (Table 7 and figure 1)

Table ([*]): Bishop	Score pattern	throughout the	procedure.
--------------------------------	---------------	----------------	------------

	· •	Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group) X ± SD (n=° •)	
At · hour (on admission)	۲.7٤±١.٣٩	۳.۲±۱.۳	NS
At \Y hour (after beginning of termination)	۳.٧٤±٢.٠٢	(1,1)	NS
At Y t hour (after beginning of termination)	1.71±7.77	۲۰ <u>٬</u> ۳٤±۱.۷۲	NS

There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups regarding Bishop score pattern throughout the procedure $(P > \cdot \cdot \circ)$

	Bishop score at · hour X ± SD	Bishop score at \frac{f}{hour} X ± SD	Bishop score at ^{Y ±} hour X ± SD
Group A (Misoprostol-only group)	* 7.75±1.79	** [.] . [.] ٤±٢۲	٦.٢٦±٢.٧٦
Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group)	*** ۲ _. ۲±۱.۳	****٣.٧٨±١.٦١	۲.۳٤±۱.۷۲

Table (^r): Comparison of Bishop Score pattern at different time intervals throughout the procedure within each group.

* = Bishop score at \cdot hour versus Bishop score at $\uparrow\uparrow$ hour in group A

** = Bishop score at 11 hour versus Bishop score at 12 hour in group A

*** = Bishop score at \cdot hour versus Bishop score at $\uparrow\uparrow$ hour in group B

**** = Bishop score at 1 hour versus Bishop score at 1 hour in group B

In both groups:

There was statistically significant improvement in Bishop score at γ hour and at γ hour compared to that at \cdot hour (P< \cdot . \circ)

Table (٤): Efficacy indicators in both groups.

Indicator	Group A (Misoprostol -only group) X ± SD (n=••)	Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group) X ± SD (n=° •)	signific ance
Induction –maximum Bishop interval (in hours)	۲۷ <u>.</u> ٦٦±٣.٦٤	۲٤.۱۲±٤.۸۸	NS
Induction- delivary interval (in hours)	۳۱ <u>.</u> ۷۱±۳.۹٦	۲۸ <u>.</u> ・۲±٦.۱۱	NS
Maximum Bishop- termination interval (in hours)	٤.١±٢.٦	٣.٧±٣.•٥	NS

There was no statistically significant difference between two groups regarding the induction – maximum Bishop Interval, induction- termination interval and maximum Bishop- termination interval ($P > \cdot \cdot \circ$)

Table (°): Side effects recorded in the studied groups.

	(Misopro gro	Group A (Misoprostol-only group) (n = ••)		-only (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group)	
	n	%	n	%	
Symptom free	٣٧	٧٤%	۳.	٦٠٪	S
Headache	•	•%	۲.	٤.٪	S
Hot flushes	•	•%	•	•%	NS
Nausea	0	۱۰٪	•	•%	S
Vomiting	٣	٦%	•	•%	S
Fever	٥	۱۰٪	•	•%	S
Palpitation	*	• %	٠	•%	NS

The percentage of asymptomatic patients in group A was statistically lower than that in group B ($P < \cdot \cdot \circ$).

Nausea, vomiting and fever were recorded in a higher percentage in group A than that in group B) (Table 17).

	Group A (Misoprostol- only group) (n = ° •)		Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- group) significance (n = ° •)		Misoprostol
-	n	%	n	%	
Nausea and vomiting	١	۲%	•	• 7.	NS
Vomiting and fever	•	• /.	•	• 7.	NS
Nausea and fever	۲	٤%	•	•%	NS

Table (`): Frequency of combined side effects in both groups.

There was no statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding the frequency of combined side effects $(P > \cdot, \cdot \circ)$

Table (^Y): Mean maternal blood pressure and pulse during the procedure.

	Group A (Misoprostol- only group) X ±SD (n=° •)	Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group) X ±SD (n=° •)	Significance
Systolic BP			
At • hour	115.1±17.00))).V±)).A£	NG
At <i>'' hour</i>	۱۱۲.٤±۱۱.۸۳	111.1±9.Vo	NS
At ¥thour))7.±±)1.^7))).Y±)).A9	
Diastolic BP			
At • hour	V ξ Λ_{\pm} V Λ Y	vy v _± v o	
At ¹ ^r hour	۲۹ ۸۲±۷ ٤۲	۲۳.٤±٦.٠١	NS
At [†] thour	۸۱.٣٤±٦.٠٢	۲۳.٤±٦.۰۱	
Maternal pulse			
At • hour	٧٩.٨٢±١٠.٩٢	۸۳.٦٤±٩.١١	NG
At [†] hour	V).A±V.97	ΛV.0±Λ.22	NS
At [¥] thour	۸۱,۳۹±۹,۲	$\land \lor \lor \pounds \pm \land \land)$	

There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups as regard the Changes in the maternal systolic BP, maternal diastolic BP and maternal pulse during the procedure. $(P>\cdot, \cdot \circ)$ (Table $\uparrow \epsilon$).

Table (^A): Complications of termination in both groups.

	Group A (Misoprostol-only group) (n= ° •)		Group B (Glyceryl trinitrate- Misoprostol group) (n=° •)		
	n	%	n	%	
Severe vaginal bleeding	•	• %	•	•%	NS

Rupture of the uterus	•	• %	•	• %	NS
Incomplete evacuation of the intrauterine contents	۱.	۲.٪	£	٨%	S

Only $\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l})$ cases in the study were complicated by retained produces of conception (incomplete evacuation of the uterus), $\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l})$ of them were in group A and $\mathfrak{t}(\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l})$ in group B. This difference between the two groups was statistically significant (P< $\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{l}$)

Discussion

The results of this study indicated that the NO donor, glycery trinitrate, cause cervical ripening effect because it increase the softness, and the dispensability of cervix and did not affect the diameter and length of the cervix. These Bishop Score changes are significant. The induction –termination interval and induction -maximum Bishop interval was shorter in NO donor group (B) but it's not statistically significant.

The need for complementary procedure such as Foleys catheter, oxytocin or both and D&C was $\circ(1\cdot \lambda)$, $1\circ(7\cdot \lambda)$, $\epsilon(\lambda\lambda)$ and $1\cdot(7\cdot)\%$ in group A respectively and $1(\epsilon\lambda)$, $\nu(1\epsilon\lambda)$, $\nu(1\epsilon\lambda)$, $\tau(1\lambda)$ and $\epsilon(\lambda\lambda)$ in group B. So There significant decrease in the need of the complementary procedure group B

As regard maternal B.P. and pulse change during the proceed there was change in the maternal B.P in the form of decrease in BP and increase in pulse rate but these changes are not statistically significant.

As far as the total side effects were occur in the misoprostol induced group (A) was 77%, of them there was nausea 77%, vomiting 77%, fever 77%, compared with $\epsilon \%$ in group B ,all of them were complain of headache^(T).

No serious obstetric complications such as sever vaginal bleeding and rupture uterus had done in both groups whoever retained products of conception was occur in $1 \cdot (7 \cdot \%)$ patients in group A and in $\xi (\%)$ patients in group B.

From the results of the present study we can reach the following conclusion:

- Misoprostol is less effective drug in induction of second trimester pregnancy termination in patients with unfavourable cervix (Bishop Score < ٤) because of nearly half cases need complementary procedures and only ^{1/Y} of these patients completed termination of their pregnancy without any complementary procedure.
- Y. NO donor glyceryl trinitrate was effective drug concerning cervical ripening as regard it improves the Bishop score on repeated doses

Recommendations:

- NO donor can induce more cervical ripening by local application of gel or paste intra-cervical. This may produce more significant changes in Bishop score and be more effective as cervical ripening agent.
- Vse of other systemic preparations of NO donors as Isosorbid mononitrate instead of glyceryl trinitrate for higher doses
- *. Glyceryl trinitrate can be used with higher doses to produce more cervical ripening effect.
- The NO donor can be also used for produce more softness of the cervix before suction evacuation of vesicular mole

References

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG): Induction and augmentation of labor. Technical Bulletin Number \.-November \...
- Y. Goldberg AB, Greenberg MB and Darney PD: Misoprostol and pregnancy. N Engl J Med, Jan Y..., Y^e (1)
- \checkmark . Ho and Norman 1997.
- ٤. McGiff JC, Wingard LB, Broody TM and Larner J: Prostaglandins and related autacoids. Human Pharmacology molecular to Clinical ۱۹۹۱; ۲۳۰-۲٤١.
- Margulies M, Peres GC and Votol S: Misoprostol to induce labor. Lancet, ۱۹۹۲; ۳۳۹: ٦٤-٦٨.

- J. Buhimschi I, Ali M,Jain V, Cliwalisz K and Garfield R: Differential regulation of nitric oxide in the rat uterus and cervix during pregnancy and labour. Hum Reprod 1997; 11: 1900-77.
- Y. Tratchman H, Futterweit S and Garg P: Nitric Oxide stimulates the activity of Y⁷-Kda neutral matrix metalloproteinease in cultured rat mesangial

cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 1997; Y1A: Y $\cdot \xi_-$ Y $\cdot A_-$

۸. Thomson A, lunan c, Ledingham M, Cameron I, Greer I and Norman J: Randomized trial of nitric oxide donor versus prostaglandin for cervical ripening before first-trimester termination of pregnancy.Lancet ۱۹۹۸ Oct; ۳٥۲(۹۱۳٤):۱۰۹۳-٦.

الملخص العربي " معطيات عقار النيتريك أوكسيد مع ميزوبروستول مقارنة بال ميزوبروستول فقط فى تحفيز الولادة بعد انتهاء فترة الحمل "

أجريت هذه الدراسة بقسم أمراض النساء والتوليد بمستشفى المنيا الجامعي في الفترة من فبراير ٢٠١٣حتى مايو ٢٠١٤ . احتوت هذه الدراسة على ١٠٠ حالة من السيدات الحوامل بدواعي واضحة لإنهاء الحمل . تعتبر هذه الدراسة مقارنه عشوائية لتحديد دور معطى أوكسيد النتريك وهو ثلاثي نيترات الجلسرول (الانجسيد) في تهيئة عنق الرحم قبل إنهاء الحمل فى هذه الفترة وتم تقسيم هذه الحالات إلى مجموعتين:

المجموعة الأولى (أ) :

تم إعطاؤهن عقار الميزوبروستول(الميزوتاك) بجرعة ٥٠ميكرو جرام كل ٤ ساعات (نصف قرص) بحد أقصى ٦جرعات (٥٠٠ ميكرو جرام) وتم وضعها تحت اللسان . المجوعة الثانية (ب) :

تُم إعطاؤهن عقار ثلاثى نيترات الجلسرول بجرعة ••• ميكرو جرام (قرص واحد)) ثم استكمال عملية إنهاء الحمل باعطاؤهن الميزوبروستول (الميزوتاك) بجرعة•• ميكروجرام كل ٤ ساعات بحد أقصى ٦ جرعات

وتم فحص السيدات كل ٤ ساعات لملاحظة التغيير في نظام البيشوب لتقييم عنق الرحم ولقد كان الانتقال لأى وسيلة أخرى يتم إذا لم تبدأ عملية انهاءالحمل بعد مرور ٢٤ ساعة من بداية إعطاء الميزوبروستول لكل الحالات في المجموعتين .

وقد تم حساب الوقت الكلى من استخدام عقار الميزوبروستول لكلا من المجموعتين بالإضافة للوسيلة المساعدة حتى حدوث عملية إنهاء الحمل وذلك لحساب الوقت الكلى التي استغرقته عملية إنهاء الحمل في كل مجموعة على حدة.

تم ملاحظة وتدوين أي من الأعراض الجانبية للأدوية المستخدمة في الدراسة كما تم أيضاً متابعة السيدات لمدة ٢٤ ساعة بعد عملية إنهاء الحمل للاكتشاف المبكر وعلاج أي مشاكل بعد عملية إنهاء الحمل. ومن هنا خلصت هذه الدراسة الي الاتي :-

- رمن مع مسلم معام معرضة من على . (١) عقار الثلاثي نيترات الجلسرول فعال في تهيئة عنق الرحم وتحسين تقييم بيشوب لتقييم عنق الرحم .
- (٢) بالرغم من الفعاليات السابقة للعقار الآنة لا يؤثر على الوقت بين عملية تحفيز أنهاء الحمل واستكمال (٢) الأنهاء .
- (٣) تقليل عدد الحالات التي استخدمت عقار الثلاثي نيترات الجلسرول الى حالات التي استخدمت وسائل الضافية (استكماليه) لانهاء الحمل .
 - (٤) النسبة الواضحة للحالات التي كانت تعانى من الصداع بعد استخدام عقار ثلاثي نيترات الجلسرول.

(°) لا يوجد تأثير لهذا العقار على النبض والضغط للسيدات المشتركات في الدراسة .